

The Guildhall
Market Place
Salisbury
Wilts
SP1 1JH



Contact: Janine Whitty
Direct Line: 01722 342860
Email: corporate@salisburycitycouncil.gov.uk
Web: www.salisburycitycouncil.gov.uk

Minutes

Meeting of : Planning Committee
Date : 18 March 2019
Meeting held in : The Guildhall, Salisbury
Commencing at : 6:30pm

Present:

Chair: Cllr J Farquhar

Vice Chair: T Corbin

Cllrs: J Baber, S Berry, A Foster, I Tomes and J Walsh

Officers: A Child and J Whitty

1385. **Apologies:**

1385.1. Cllr Rogers and Sirman gave their apologies.

1386. **Public Questions/Statement Time:**

Public statement had been received regarding application 19/01190/FUL from the applicant which was commented upon by the Planning Committee at the last meeting on 25 February 2019. Following feedback the applicant had received, he wished to present the reduced scale and revised design. Following a presentation from the applicant, the committee were encouraged by the proposed changes. However it was:

Resolved to:

1386.1. Make no amendment nor further comment regarding application 19\01190\FUL at this time. If the neighbour's comments changes to favour of the new proposed scale and design of the property, then the committee may decide to stand down their objection.

1387. Councillor Questions/Statement Time:

There were no questions submitted from Councillors.

1388. Minutes of the Previous Meeting:

- 1388.1. Item 1377.5 Planning Application 19\01659\FUL amendment to pitched roof.
- 1388.2. The minutes of the last meeting of the Planning Committee held on 25 February 2019 were approved and signed by the Chairman.

1389. Declarations of Interest:

There were no declarations of interest.

1390. Dispensations:

No dispensations were requested.

1391. Chairman's Announcements:

The Chairman made no announcements.

1392. Salisbury City Planning Applications submitted since 22 February 2019:

The Committee considered the following applications and it was:

Resolved that:

- 1392.1. 19/01961/FUL - SCC has no comment to make on this application.
- 1392.2. 19/02011/FUL - SCC has no comment to make on this application.
- 1392.3. 19/02219/FUL - SCC has no comment to make on this application.
- 1392.4. 18/12068/FUL - SCC would like to request that the developer attends the next Planning committee to provide details of this proposed development. SCC will also request that WC Officers extend the deadline for this application until this time.
- 1392.5. 19/01500/VAR - SCC has no comment to make on this application.
- 1392.6. 19/01931/ADV - SCC asks that the applicant refer to the Shop Front Policy.
- 1392.7. 19/01947/LBC - SCC has no comment to make on this application.
- 1392.8. 19/01309/VAR - SCC objects to this application due to the proposed removal of Level 4 Code for Sustainable Homes and notes it disregards to Core Police 41. SCC also has concerns regarding the lack of suitable parking to accommodate its increased size.
- 1392.9. 19/02082/LBC - SCC has no comment to make on this application.
- 1392.10. 19/01878/TCA - SCC has no comment to make on this application.
- 1392.11. 19/01181/FUL - SCC has no comment to make on this application.
- 1392.12. 19/01667/VAR - SCC has no comment to make on this application.
- 1392.13. 19/01869/TCA - SCC has no comment to make on this application.
- 1392.14. 19/01783/FUL - SCC has no comment to make on this application.
- 1392.15. 19/00322/FUL - SCC has no comment to make on this application.
- 1392.16. 19/01776/LBC - SCC has no comment to make on this application.

1393. Late Business:

The Committee considered the following applications and it was:

Resolved that:

- 1393.1. 19/02619/TCA - SCC has no comment to make on this application.
- 1393.2. 19/02532/FUL - SCC notes that this development seems very large for the area.
- 1393.3. 19/02343/FUL - No comment was made due to the application being withdrawn.
- 1393.4. 19/02770/TCA - SCC has no comment to make on this application.

1394. Application to vary Premise License – The Mill WK/201904940:

The Committee discussed premise licence variation application for The Mill. It was:

Resolved that:

- 1394.1. SCC has no comment to make on this application.

1395. Premises Licence Application - The Range, Homebase Ltd WK/201904548:

The Committee discussed the premise licence application for the Range, Homebase Ltd. It was:

Resolved that:

- 1395.1. SCC has no comment to make on this application.

1396. Waiting Restriction Request Application – 17 Wordsworth Road:

The Committee considered a waiting restriction for this address. It was:

Resolved that:

- 1396.1. The Committee supports this application.

1397. Waiting Restriction Request Application – 34 Harcourt Terrace:

The Committee considered a waiting restriction for this address. It was:

Resolved that:

- 1397.1. The Committee does not supports this application.

1398. **Matters, if any, which by reason of special circumstances the Chairman decides should be considered as a matter of urgency:**

A Premise License Application for Bill's Restaurant was received. The Committee discussed premise licence application for the Bills Restaurant. It was:

Resolved that:

1398.1. SCC has no comment to make on this application.

There were 3 member of the public and 1 member of the press present.

The meeting closed at 8:21 pm.

PUBLIC STATEMENTS

Eric Hart

Councillors,

As this committee is aware the 'Compleat Artist' building, 102 Crane Street, has been almost completely demolished contrary to planning regulations and in serious breach of the original planning application 18/00991/FUL. A new planning application 19/009991/FUL has been submitted. The accompanying 'Construction Method Statement' states "The works involve the partial demolition of the existing building and it (sic) re- construction."

NOTE: 'partial demolition'. There are other seriously misrepresentative statements made in the accompanying documents.

Such statements made in the second application regarding work on the public access area and river drain culvert, carried out in Salisbury City Council's name, are blamed for historic architectural features of the original building becoming unsafe. A mechanical digger was used on the site!

It was clear from the commencement of the works under the original application that the workmen on site were intent on pulling down nearly all of the building. These workmen adopted an aggressive attitude towards any members of the public attempting to witness what was being done. The intimidating attitude they adopted had nothing to do with safety.

The new proposal is vastly different from the original building even though there are dubious claims that preserved 'historical features' are being included. The original building was single storey throughout. The current plan is for a two storey building which is significantly different in architectural style from the original. The new proposal also has a chimney stack.

Wiltshire Council Planning has obviously demonstrated dereliction of its duty of care responsibilities in overseeing that such works are compliant with the planning application from the outset. There is a clear need for this SCC committee to challenge such dereliction on behalf of the electorate to ensure the evident malpractices cannot occur in relation to other properties in the Salisbury Conservation Area and to have the now inevitable reconstruction of the 'Complete Artist' building more closely resemble the original building.

Definitive photographic reference of that building accompanies the second application. How will this committee, in conjunction with the full Salisbury City Council, challenge this new application and ensure that public conservation interests are fully enforced and employed in the reconstruction of the 'Compleat Artist' building and any other such buildings in Salisbury for which planning applications are submitted in the future?

Yours sincerely,

Eric G Hart