

SALISBURY CITY COUNCIL

Subject : CIL Working Group Report
Committee : Full Council
Date : 9 March 2026
Author : Asa Thorpe, Chief Executive Officer
Louise Webber, Head of Communities
Penny Muxworthy, Senior Corporate Officer

1. Report Summary:

- 1.1 This report outlines the discussions, considerations and recommendations from the CIL Monies Working Group, set up by Full Council at its 15 September 2025 meeting.
- 1.2 By majority vote, the working group were able to recommend model criteria for assessing projects and that the work should be carried out by the Communities Committee.
- 1.3 The Group recommend, alongside the scoring matrix, that a stakeholder engagement plan is adopted which sets out how communities are informed, engaged and able to be involved in the application process.
- 1.4 Further decisions are asked to be decided by the Communities Committee going forward.

2. Background:

- 2.1 The City Council receives quantities of Community Infrastructure Levy monies, via Wiltshire Council, from developments within the Parish (see Appendix A).
- 2.2 These monies are to mitigate for disruption to communities resulting from the developments.
- 2.3 How councils spend CIL monies is regulated by law but is not as restrictive as Section 106 (s106) funding. It should be borne in mind that the recent potential Pride in Place funding for Bemerton Heath has no impact on, or relation to, either CIL or s106 funding.
- 2.4 Since 2009, the City Council has used the CIL funds to improve life for residents and visitors through a variety of projects and schemes, small and large. Projects have been identified by officers.
- 2.5 The Chief Executive has been clear in that CIL monies should not be used as a stop gap to finance challenges in the General Reserve. Full Council is in agreement that CIL should be used to lessen the impact of new and existing developments.

3. The Working Group

- 3.1 The role of the cross-party working group was to recommend a model to Full Council for the spending of CIL monies.

3.2 The principles contained within the terms of reference were as follows:

- a. Be led by clear evidence
- b. Offer value for the taxpayer
- c. Keep in line with existing Salisbury City Council agreements such as the Neighbourhood Plan
- d. Set criteria which focus's projects of community benefit – tangible benefits to the local community, enhancing quality of life and promoting social wellbeing
- e. Acknowledge the challenge between wards earning CIL and those wards of high use by all residents of the city
- f. Consider how best to involve local businesses, communities and interested parties in decision making
- g. Examine how best to share this model with the community
- h. Encourage monitoring and evaluation; to review the effectiveness of decision making.
- i. Acknowledge current officer workloads and capacity.

3.3 The Working Group met on three occasions. Discussions included considering what monies can be legally spent on, and by when; considering what similar parish councils do; what best practice looks like for allocation of funds; how best to encourage engagement with and by communities, of varying sizes, alongside engagement with members and officers; what should be considered in community applications, and when applications should be considered (i.e. number of times per year).

4. Recommended changes

4.1 Introduction of an application process for any groups to use in order to bid for CIL monies. These groups could be community based, elected councillors or even council officers.

4.2 Application forms be designed such that they align with the CIL scoring priorities and usual Communities Grants. As with Communities Grants, the application form will be via an online portal (to be developed).

4.3 A Draft Scoring Matrix & Weighting Schedule, for use by the scoring panel, which includes Stage 1 'initial sift', Stage 2 'detailed scoring and weighting', and Stage 3 applying Banding to aid decision making is appended at Appendix B (along with example applications and scorings at Appendix D).

4.4 All applicants will receive feedback, especially those who are unsuccessful. Feedback may include suggestions to re-apply with more detailed information and/or consider other alternative or additional funding sources.

4.5 The Group recommends that responsibility for CIL funds and its allocation sits with the Head of Community Services and the Community Services Committee. There will need to be additional support from Corporate Services.

4.6 In order to pay for additional administration, it is proposed that a reasonable value is used from CIL in order to administer this new work area. It is understood the value can be up to 5%.

- 4.7 Applications to be considered twice yearly – March and September.
- 4.8 The Community Services Committee will report on applications and awards at the appropriate following Full Council, within their reported minutes.
- 4.9 The Community Services Committee may reserve the right to put particularly large projects to Full Council for consideration.
- 4.10 The Group agreed that an appropriate Stakeholder Engagement Plan be drawn up to assist with the administration of the funds and associated decision-making (Appendix C).

5. Links to Geographical Areas

- 5.1 There was much discussion by members about the value or otherwise of CIL monies being spent in areas which had “earned” that money. Officers were clear that given the size of the parish, it was appropriate for CIL attributed to developments in one area, could be used in another. A clear example of this would be in Full Council’s previous decision to use £50,000 of CIL to support the Broken Bridges Nature Reserve (November 2024).
- 5.2 A principle was proposed by Councillor Brown regarding the polarising issue of geographical link. The suggestion was that a significant weighting would be given to those projects which alleviated issues of traffic, environmental, utilities, facilities etc. This would not tie monies earned to being spent in a specific certain area. It became about the problems that any given project might solve.
- 5.3 It is noted by officers that newer developments are more likely to suffer from increased problems as the communities are not settled and therefore you may find an increase in points for those assessed in areas where there are more developments – without there being a clear geographical link.
- 5.4 This principle was generally agreed albeit the weighting given to this issue was polarising with some councillors wanting a far lower figure and some wanting a far higher figure. A compromised position of 25% was agreed in a 3-2 vote.

6. Stakeholder Engagement

- 6.1 The Community Stakeholder Engagement Plan sets out how communities can input into the CIL process – Appendix C.
- 6.2 It is intended that community comments received on applications will be incorporated into the committee reports to inform decision making.

7. Recommendation:

- 7.1 It is recommended that Full Council approves the Working Group’s recommendations including that:
 - 7.1.1 The work of CIL monies is included in the responsibilities of the Communities Committee and Head of Community Services.

- 7.1.2 An online portal application process is agreed by the Committee.
- 7.1.3 Applications are received and considered twice yearly – March and September.
- 7.1.4 Officers to advise on whether projects meet the basic CIL threshold.
- 7.1.5 Officers to give a rationale on grading for the Committee to decide.
- 7.1.6 The Committee may reserve the right to take larger applications direct to Full Council.
- 7.1.7 Up to 5% of CIL funds be allocated to administrative / officer support of this work.
- 7.1.8 The Stakeholder Engagement Plan be approved by Committee.
- 7.1.9 That appropriate and relevant feedback be offered, and given, to all applicants, whether successful or not.

Wards Affected: All

Background papers: Appendix A: CIL Receipts
Appendix B: Draft and Proposed Scoring Matrix and Weightings
Appendix C: Stakeholder Engagement Plan
Appendix D: Example projects' applications and scorings

Implications:

Financial: A dedicated project administrator will be sought/allocated – with funding for up to 5% of CIL monies that can be allocated to administration.

Members will need to be aware of the implications of piecemeal spending on projects and if it contributes to the wider strategic direction. This will be particularly important when members are asking to support (or otherwise), projects which they have a link to or have been lobbied on.

An appropriate mechanism to ensure proper allocation and spend of CIL funds is required to ensure CIL monies are spent within the 5-year time frame, avoiding potential recall of funds by Wiltshire Council.

Legal: There will be a greater duty on councillors to ensure that CIL proposals meet the statutory tests. Responsibility will be further removed from Officers.

Additionally, as with the financial implications, CIL Regulations state that monies not spent within the 5-year timeframe can legally be recalled by the local planning authority, i.e. Wiltshire Council.

Personnel: There will be increased call on officer time to support this process with significantly more work to assess compared to previous officer-led decision making. Impact will be most felt in Community Services team with increased workload for already

stretched officers and members. A dedicated project administrator will need to be sought/allocated – funding through the up to 5% of CIL monies that can be allocated to support project management and administration.

Environmental Impact: Mitigation against environmental impacts of new development will be considered as part of the application process and scoring along with any environmental improvements in relation to the project itself and to the Council's environmental ambitions within the Neighbourhood Development Plan.

Equalities Impact Statement: The Stakeholder Engagement Plan will ensure communication and engagement across the diverse communities of Salisbury. This will enable residents across the city to engage in the CIL process either through making applications directly or through having a voice on potential projects that come forward. Additionally, there will be a beneficial impact upon communities increasing the availability of and access to local community infrastructure assets and associated community support services.

Appendix A - CIL Receipts

	Received in 2024/25
	Received in 2025/26
of works	Spent in 2025/26 (see separate list of works)

	Planning Address	Planning Proposal	Transfer Date	Amount of CIL income	Unspent CIL funding
	30 - 36 Fisherton Street Salisbury Wiltshire SP2 7RG	Demolition of the existing building at 30-36 Fisherton Street, currently used as retail. Erection of new building for library, gym and 86 room hotel.	01/07/21	GBP2,966.57	GBP2,966.57
	30 - 36 Fisherton Street Salisbury Wiltshire SP2 7RG	Demolition of the existing building at 30-36 Fisherton Street, currently used as retail. Erection of new building for library, gym and 86 room hotel.	01/07/21	GBP3,461.00	GBP3,461.00
	Harnham Park Netherhampton Road Salisbury Wiltshire SP2 8PF	Reserved Matters Application for Layout, Scale, Appearance and Landscaping Following Outline Planning Permisson 18/04067/OUT	01/10/21	GBP26,152.10	GBP26,152.10
	61 Wyndham Road Salisbury Wiltshire SP1 3AH	Notification for Prior Approval under Class O for Conversion of Office Block (Use Class B1a) to 9 Self Contained Dwellings (Use Class C3)	01/10/21	GBP2,940.82	GBP2,940.82
	Land at corner of Roman Road and Pembroke Road Salisbury SP2 9DG	Proposed residential development comprising of 2no. semi detached dwellings with parking.	01/10/21	GBP3,001.42	GBP3,001.42
	Milford Hill House, Milford Hill, Salisbury, Wiltshire, SP1 2QW	Erection of detached bungalow with integral garage.	01/01/22	GBP1,632.98	GBP1,632.98
	30 - 36 Fisherton Street, Salisbury, Wiltshire, SP2 7RG	Demolition of the existing building at 30-36 Fisherton Street, currently used as retail. Erection of new building for library, gym and 86 room hotel.	01/01/22	GBP3,461.00	GBP3,461.00

Appendix A - CIL Receipts

	Planning Address	Planning Proposal	Transfer Date	Amount of CIL income	Unspent CIL funding
	Harnham Park, Netherhampton Road, Salisbury, Wiltshire, SP2 8PF	Reserved Matters Application for Layout, Scale, Appearance and Landscaping Following Outline Planning Permisson 18/04067/OUT	01/06/22	GBP26,152.10	GBP26,152.10
	107 Milford Hill, Salisbury, SP1 2QX	Demolition of existing dwelling and construction of 2 semi-detached dwellings with garages/parking spaces (x5)	01/09/22	GBP2,621.20	GBP2,621.20
	41 Estcourt Road, Salisbury, Wiltshire, SP1 3AS	Change of use of vacant shop and convert four storey single dwelling to create 4 bed residential units.	01/12/22	GBP65.32	GBP65.32
	Land South of Netherhampton Road, Salisbury	Approval of reserved matters in respect of the appearance, landscape, layout and scale, pursuant to planning permission reference (19/05824/OUT) for the erection of 234 dwellings, hard and soft landscaping, car parking including garages, internal access r	01/04/23	GBP53,045.68	GBP53,045.68
	Stratford Court, Stratford Road, Salisbury, SP1 3JH	Erection of a replacement, self-contained, semi-detached Class C2 care facility with associated access, car parking and landscape works.	01/06/23	GBP5,474.07	GBP5,474.07
	41 NETHERHAMPTON ROAD, SALISBURY, SP2 8HD	VAR Application relating to PL/2022/03734 (Proposed Erection of a replacement, Single Storey Detached outbuilding to be used for Holiday Accommodation & replacement boundary fencing)	01/07/23	GBP178.44	GBP178.44
	Land North of Netherhampton Road, Salisbury, Wiltshire	Residential development comprising 106 dwellings including formation of vehicular access and footways, open space, drainage, landscaping and associated works.	01/08/23	GBP27,020.59	GBP27,020.59
	Stratford Court, Stratford Road, Salisbury, SP1 3JH	Erection of a replacement, self-contained, semi-detached Class C2 care facility with associated access, car parking and landscape works.	01/08/23	GBP6,386.41	GBP6,386.41
	141 Castle Street, Salisbury, SP1 3TB	Conversion and external alterations to part of the northern elevation of the building to form one apartment and extension to the car parking area	01/09/23	GBP678.94	GBP678.94
	Grosvenor House, 26 Churchfields Road, Salisbury, SP2 7NH	Conversion of Grosvenor House from vacant Day Centre to 4 x dwellings, demolition of an existing link, erection of domestic garage, erection of a two storey rear extension, hard and soft landscaping and associated works	01/11/23	GBP5,490.73	GBP5,490.73
	Stratford Court, Stratford Road, Salisbury, SP1 3JH	Erection of a replacement, self-contained, semi-detached Class C2 care facility with associated access, car parking and landscape works.	01/03/24	GBP6,386.41	GBP6,386.41

Appendix A - CIL Receipts

	Planning Address	Planning Proposal	Transfer Date	Amount of CIL income	Unspent CIL funding
	Land South of Netherhampton Road, Salisbury	Approval of reserved matters in respect of the appearance, landscape, layout and scale, pursuant to planning permission reference (19/05824/OUT) for the erection of 234 dwellings, hard and soft landscaping, car parking including garages, internal access r	01/04/24	GBP61,886.62	GBP61,886.62
	82 St Ann Street, Salisbury, Wilts, SP1 2PT	Construction of 4 no. dwellings (revision to previously approved dwellings under 20/01940/FUL) to include repositioning of dwellings and parking arrangement, together with revised boundary between new builds (Units C, D1, D2, D3) and listed dwellings (Uni	01/04/24	GBP1,911.47	GBP1,911.47
	35 WINCHESTER STREET, SALISBURY, SP1 1HG	Change of use from shop to 3 bedroom dwelling and associated alterations	01/07/24	GBP348.17	GBP348.17
	Grosvenor House, 26 Churchfields Road, Salisbury, SP2 7NH	Conversion of Grosvenor House from vacant Day Centre to 4 x dwellings, demolition of an existing link, erection of domestic garage, erection of a two storey rear extension, hard and soft landscaping and associated works	20/09/24	GBP6,230.85	GBP6,230.85
	Land North of Netherhampton Road, Salisbury, Wiltshire	Residential development comprising 106 dwellings including formation of vehicular access and footways, open space, drainage, landscaping and associated works.	01/10/24	GBP31,524.02	GBP31,524.02
	18-20 Breamar Lodge, Stratford Road, Salisbury, SP1 3JH	Internal and external alterations, and refurbishment	01/11/24	GBP2,472.03	GBP2,472.03
	Land South of Netherhampton Road, Salisbury	Approval of reserved matters in respect of the appearance, landscape, layout and scale, pursuant to planning permission reference (19/05824/OUT) for the erection of 234 dwellings, hard and soft landscaping, car parking including garages, internal access r	01/12/24	GBP61,886.62	GBP61,886.62
	Land to the east of Odstock Road and to the south of Rowbarrow, Salisbury, Wiltshire	Erect 86 dwellings together with garages, car barns, and refuse/cycle stores. Lay out gardens and erect means of enclosure. Creation of new vehicular access to Odstock Road. Lay out internal roads, including drives and pavements. Provision of associated p	01/03/25	GBP27,537.84	GBP27,537.84
	82 St Ann Street, Salisbury, Wilts, SP1 2PT	Construction of 4 no. dwellings (revision to previously approved dwellings under 20/01940/FUL) to include repositioning of dwellings and parking arrangement, together with revised boundary between new builds (Units C, D1, D2, D3) and listed dwellings (Uni	01/03/25	GBP2,230.05	GBP2,230.05
	Land North of Netherhampton Road, Salisbury, Wiltshire	Residential development comprising 106 dwellings including formation of vehicular access and footways, open space, drainage, landscaping and associated works.	01/04/25	GBP31,524.02	GBP31,524.02
	61 Milford Street, Salisbury, SP1 2BP	Alteration of internal walls to separate the 2 properties and to change the use of the shop to part of the dwelling in No. 61 Milford Street	01/04/25	GBP107.45	GBP107.45

Appendix A - CIL Receipts

	Planning Address	Planning Proposal	Transfer Date	Amount of CIL income	Unspent CIL funding
	Land North of Netherhampton Road, Salisbury, Wiltshire	Residential development comprising 106 dwellings including formation of vehicular access and footways, open space, drainage, landscaping and associated works.	17/06/25	GBP21,016.01	GBP21,016.01
	61 Milford Street, Salisbury, SP1 2BP	Alteration of internal walls to separate the 2 properties and to change the use of the shop to part of the dwelling in No. 61 Milford Street	17/06/25	GBP71.64	GBP71.64
	151 BOUVERIE AVENUE SOUTH, SALISBURY, SP2 8EB	Demolish the existing garage and erect a detached 3-bedroom dwelling adjacent to 151 Bouverie Avenue South, remove a window on the eastern elevation of No.151 Bouverie Avenue South, create altered access points, hard/soft landscaping and associated works	17/06/25	GBP1,189.60	GBP1,189.60
	64 Wellington Way Salisbury SP2 9BX	Proposed new 1 bed semi-detached dwelling complete with off street parking and rear garden	01/07/25	GBP1,371.71	GBP1,371.71
	82 St Ann Street, Salisbury, Wilts, SP1 2PT	Construction of 4 no. dwellings (revision to previously approved dwellings under 20/01940/FUL) to include repositioning of dwellings and parking arrangement, together with revised boundary between new builds (Units C, D1, D2, D3) and listed dwellings (Uni	01/07/25	GBP2,230.05	GBP2,230.05
	Grosvenor House, 26 Churchfields Road, Salisbury, SP2 7NH	Conversion of Grosvenor House from vacant Day Centre to 4 x dwellings, demolition of an existing link, erection of domestic garage, erection of a two storey rear extension, hard and soft landscaping and associated works	01/07/25	GBP6,080.85	GBP6,080.85
	50 Bouverie Ave tranche 1 of 1		05/09/25	GBP4,097.64	GBP4,097.64
	Little Orchard 1a Coronation Rd		03/10/25	GBP3,767.87	GBP3,767.87
	Cathedral Hotel Tranche 1 of 1		03/10/25	GBP4,814.23	GBP4,814.23
	Land to the east of Odstock Road and to the south of Rowbarrow, Salisbury, Wiltshire tranche 2/3		09/02/26	GBP53,545.80	GBP53,545.80
					GBP0.00
Sum				GBP502,960.32	GBP502,960.32
Count					

Appendix B

SCC Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) funds - Proposed Scoring Matrix with weightings

The CIL working group considered a range of options for determining allocation of CIL funding and based on best practice determining a scoring matrix with weighted criteria offers the best fit in relation to how Salisbury City Council allocates CIL funds.

The proposed scoring matrix needs to:

- Meet CIL legal requirements as being infrastructure/development focussed.

Stage 1 - First sift

Any projects that failed to meet CIL legal requirements will be automatically rejected at this stage.

Stage 2 - Scoring and weighting matrix

(see Page 2 for details)

Stage 3 - Banding for decision making

(see Page 3 for details)

It is worth noting that the below weighting percentages were NOT UNANIMOUSLY agreed by the working group. They were voted in favour by 3-2.

Stage 2 - Scoring and weighting matrix

Priority	Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Points allocation
Addresses impact of development	25%	1-5	Score x 0.25	Mitigating the impact of development (1 point for each) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Environmental impact • Traffic and transport- Roads/Congestion • Pressure on local services- e.g. schools, healthcare, community assets • Strong community voice • Citywide impact
Aligns with Neighbourhood plan	20%	5	Score x 0.20	If project conflicts with neighbourhood plan - 0 If project meets aspirations of, and does not conflict with, the neighbourhood plan - 5
Community benefit - number of residents affected or involved	20%	1-5	Score x 0.20	Residents involved/positively affected <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A road impacted - 1 • LSOA area - 2 • Ward - 3 • Citywide - 4 • City and beyond - 5
Deliverability/readiness to start	15%	1-5	Score x 0.15	Initial project plan underway - 1 Partially developed project - 3 Fully worked up- ready to go project - 5
Value for Money	10%	1-5	Score x 0.10	Assessment of value for money Weak -1 Medium - 3 Strong - 5
Long term sustainability/legacy	10%	1-5	Score x 0.10	Sustainability/Legacy - 1 point for each <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enduring benefits • Consideration of future maintenance requirements • Ongoing support requirements clear • Asset is adaptable over time • Exit strategy in place

Stage 3 - Banding for decision making

Banding will be used as an additional measure to aid decision making on projects which score highly to go forward for funding.

Band A – High Strategic Priority	Strong alignment with strategic goals and CIL priorities	Prioritise for CIL funding
Band B - Supporting Priority	Good alignment but lower scale or urgency	May be funded subject to available resources
Band C – Lower Priority	Limited or indirect alignment	Unlikely to be funded from CIL at this time
Band D – Not Suitable	Poor fit or outside CIL scope	Not eligible for CIL funding

Appendix C



CIL Community Stakeholder Engagement Plan

1. Purpose

To gather proportionate, targeted community input and allow engagement to inform decision-making taken by Councillors.

Engagement will be informative, time-limited and focused on community insight rather than co-production of funding decisions.

2. Scope of Engagement

Engagement will:

- Inform communities of CIL funding opportunities.
- Provide an opportunity for communities to comment, highlight gaps or unintended impacts on projects that come forward.
- Support transparency and accountability in decision-making.

Engagement will not:

- Replace formal decision-making processes.
- Create an expectation of consensus or community control over allocations.
- Involve statutory consultation procedures.

3. Stakeholders

Engagement will focus on a targeted group of representative stakeholders, including:

- Parish councillor community networks,
- Insight resident engagement panel,
- Neighbourhood/community groups (those bringing forward projects or those affected by or able to get involved in projects),
- Key voluntary and community sector organisations,
- Local businesses,
- Relevant infrastructure providers where appropriate.

4. Engagement Approach

A light-touch, mixed-method approach will be used:

- **Briefing note**

A plain-English summary explaining:

- CIL purpose and limitations.
- How CIL funding is received and allocated.
- How communities can apply for CIL funds.
- Assessment and feedback process.

- **Application and Engagement with key stakeholders**

- Call for applications.

- Feedback and comments on applications received.

Above carried out via Insight resident engagement panel, elected member networks, social media channels and notice boards in community hubs requesting feedback.

5. Decisions taken by committee

- Decisions to be taken through established governance arrangements.
- All applicants will be notified of decisions made.
- Feedback to residents will be provided on how their input influenced decisions taken.

6. Post-decision feedback

- Communicate to stakeholders what's been funded and outline delivery timescales.
- Communicate about any opportunities for communities to stay involved.

7. Timing

A phased timeline for stakeholder engagement will be produced in line with key CIL decision making and communicated with key stakeholders in due course.

8. Review

Engagement approach to be reviewed periodically to ensure it remains proportionate, effective and aligned with available resources.

Appendix D

CIL Funding Application Examples A, B & C – SCORED

CIL Funding Application – Example A

Project Name	Hotpot Close, ABC Street – Park- footpath access
Ward	XYZ
Short description To provide a safe, level, hard-wearing footpath access to a local park – for all users – where limited access currently exists, and in response to residents’ concerns and requests. We have engaged with our local Ward Councillor, who will be sponsoring this application if that is required.	
Amount requested Project total - in the region of £35k. Total £35k.	
Impact of Development This will have a positive outcome for all users of the park – whether for recreational or commuting purposes. The path will make access easier and safer for those using wheelchairs, pushchairs, or similar, by being of a hard-wearing material; for young children and older residents, being on a footpath not the road. It should be noted that users of the park are from across the city (and external visitors), not just the local community.	
Alignment with Neighbourhood Development Plan This contributes to ensuring accessibility for those with mobility issues to use a local community asset.	
Community Benefit This will benefit more than just the residents of ABC Street and XYZ Ward, as footpath, park and road users, come from across the city.	
Deliverability / Readiness to start Surveys, mainly informal conversations with users, have already been conducted and this project is a response to their feedback. Outline costs have already been ascertained – in the region of £35k. This project can be upgraded and made deliverable within a short space of time, dependant on sourcing an appropriate contactor and their timescales.	

We still have to confirm if Planning is required – and if so, it will be done as soon CIL funding is confirmed.

Value for Money

We believe this will deliver excellent value for money – responding to the direct input of residents and meeting the needs and expectations of those using the park.

Long term sustainability

We envisage that the upkeep of this path will fall within the general repairs and maintenance of those currently responsible for footpath repairs and maintenance.

Scoring	Points allocation	Weighted score
Impact of development	Community Voice/Citywide impact- 2	2x 0.25= 0.5
Aligns with NP	Yes- 5	5 x 0.2=1
Community Benefit	Citywide- 4	4x0.2=0.8
Deliverability	Initial project plan- 1	1x0.15=0.15
Value for Money	Costed- medium	3 x 0.1=0.3
Sustainability/legacy	Legacy- enduring benefits- 1	1 x 0.10=0.1
Total score 2.85 (out of a potential total of 5) = 57% (Band B)		

CIL Funding Application – Example B

Project Name	New Play Park
Ward	LMO
Short description	A group of local mums and caregivers request that a new play park be provided within Salisbury. This would be for the benefit of both residents and those visiting from outside the city, especially as the nearest relevant alternative is some miles away.
Amount requested	Potentially £240 - £250k
Impact of Development	This will provide a Salisbury city-centre focused play area for children of all areas, including those from newer developments. It should be dis/ability accessible in all weathers. The impact on mental health and engagement with the outdoors cannot be measured, but likely to be very high.
Alignment with Neighbourhood Development Plan	This project fits with NDP aspirations to increase the quality and amount of play space in the city.
Community Benefit	This will benefit residents of the new development, as well as those in LMO Ward, the wider city and beyond.
Deliverability / Readiness to start	Conversations will be required on where this new play park is sited, potential planning and environmental impacts, along with design considerations. No sites have been recommended. No site surveys have been conducted with the City Council, Wiltshire Council or environment agency. While costs could be in the region of £240k, no official estimates have been sought to date. It is hoped that the City Council will fund this whole project (through CIL funding and/or within General Budgets), but those presenting the project may seek match-funding if necessary.
Value for Money	Unknown
Long term sustainability	Consideration will need to be given to this once the project makes further progress.

Additional information: No engagement has been sought, or had, with local Ward councillors. This is a 'community led' initiative.

Scoring	Points allocation	Weighted score
Impact of development	Community asset, Community Voice	2x 0.25= 0.5
Aligns with NP	Yes- 5	5 x 0.2=1
Community Benefit	Citywide and beyond- 5	5x 0.2=1
Deliverability	No project plan	0
Value for Money	Insufficient information	0
Sustainability/legacy	Insufficient information	0
Total score 2.5 (out of a potential total of 5) = 50% (Band C)		

CIL Funding Application – Example C

Project Name	Community Hall / Local sporting club house
Ward	HGI
Short description	<p>An aging community hall, that sits within the centre of one of the larger new developments, also doubles up as a local sports-hub club house.</p> <p>The sporting clubs that use the facilities are growing and have aims to grow even further, but current facilities preclude growth.</p> <p>With the growth of the local community, because of the new developments, the community hall is frequently more in use – either by the Social Club therein and/or external organisations and bookings.</p> <p>The Hall needs upgrading to ensure it complies with appropriate health, safety and environmental regulations.</p> <p>The community hall committee (local resident based) is leading on the project and have significant community support for their plans. The hall hosts local healthcare drop-in support which with additional space will increase the local healthcare and wellbeing offer.</p>
Amount Requested	£35 - £40k
Impact of Development	<p>This will develop further the role of the community hall and sporting hub to meet the growing local community.</p> <p>An upgraded hall and sporting provisions will also positively impact the wider city as it will offer even more options for social club and sporting club activities, users and usage.</p>
Aligns with Neighbourhood Development Plan	Yes, as it is 'infrastructure' upgrading of facilities in an area of huge new developments.
Community Benefit	This will benefit residents of the new development, as well as those in LMO Ward, the wider city, as it will increase options for venues, sports facilities and community engagement.
Deliverability / Readiness to start	<p>Discussions have already taken place with the appropriate advisors, authorities and contractors.</p> <p>Plans and Schedule of Works have been drawn up.</p> <p>Costings have been supplied by contractors, so the figure required to make good these works is a known.</p> <p>Work has already taken place on what additional funding streams may be available from other organisations – and so CIL funding would form just one element of overall funding.</p>
Value for Money	£35-£40k is expected overall project cost.

Long term sustainability	The Community Hall Committee, which includes representatives from the various groups and organisations that use the spaces, will take on the upkeep, repairs and maintenance of the spaces upon completion of the projected works. The design plans of the extended space demonstrate that there is flexibility in how this space could be adapted over time for alternative uses dependent on the future needs of the community.
---------------------------------	---

Additional information: Engagement has already been sought, or had, with local Ward councillors.

Scoring	Points allocation	Weighted score
Impact of development	Community asset/ Community Voice	2x 0.25= 0.5
Aligns with NP	Yes- 5	5 x 0.2=1
Community Benefit	Citywide- 4	4x 0.2=0.8
Deliverability	Partially developed 3	3 x 0.15=0.45
Value for Money	Strong includes match	5 x 0.1=0.5
Sustainability/legacy	Enduring benefits, future maintenance considered, ongoing support in place, adaptable over time, exit strategy in place	5 x 0.10= 0.5
Total score 3.75 (out of a potential total of 5) = 75% (Band A)		